

# Comparative Performance Of African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) Fed Artificial And Live Feeds

UKWE, OYEKUOTOR ISAAC KENOYE

Department Of Fisheries and Aquatic Environment, Faculty of Agriculture, Rivers State University  
Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Ukwe, Isaac, Department Of Fisheries and Aquatic Environment, Faculty of  
Agriculture, Rivers State University Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Tel  
+2348033397582; Email: oyekuotorisaac@gmail.

**ABSTRACT :** A feeding trial was conducted on *Clarias gariepinus* larvae using two diets: decapsulated *Artemia*, and special formulated feed. After the absorption of yoke, larvae were randomly distributed into six plastic tanks at a density of 200 fish per tank, using a completely randomized design, and each treatment was carried out in triplicates. Survival was higher in fish fed with special formulated starter diet than the fish fed decapsulated *Artemia*. Growth rate, specific growth rate and final weight were higher in fish fed decapsulated *Artemia*, than the formulated fish feed. The percentage survival was higher in larvae fish fed with special feed than *Artemia*. Moreover, in terms of nutrient utilization between the two feeds, special feed had a better feed conversion ratio of 1.33, while *Artemia* had 1.87. It is concluded based on the findings from this work, that special formulated feed is suitable in first feeding of *C. gariepinus* larvae.

**Keywords:** Live feeds; Catfish, Fish larvae; Hatchery management; Aquaculture

## I. INTRODUCTION

The *Clarias gariepinus* is a preferred fish for aquaculture because of its growth rate, good market price, hardiness, easy to breed and this has made it a subject of investigation among several species of fish. Studies on *C. gariepinus* includes that of its nutrition [1] and production of its fingerlings [2]. Hatchery production of larvae as against collection from the wild has become a routine operation in modern aquaculture. The highest mortalities during rearing of *C. gariepinus* have been observed between the larvae and fry stage. The first important stage in the life of the larvae is the transition stage, from the endogenous to the exogenous feeding, and live food is a necessity for the *C. gariepinus* at this stage [3]. Before *C. gariepinus* larvae can attain 5g in size, the feed should contain at least 50.0% protein [4]. It should be noted that the protein content of the various feeds differs, be it live or formulated. When larval feed is poor in nutritional quality, cannibalism is enhanced in the system [5].

Live feeds are preferred as choice feed in larvae stage of fish in aquaculture. Moreover, *Artemia*, otherwise known as brine shrimp is the most widely utilized live food item used in culture of larval stage in fish [6]. Annually, over 2000 metric tons of dry *Artemia* cysts are marketed all over the world for use in hatchery production process [7]. Conversely, the distinctive characteristic of the minute branchiopod crustacean *Artemia* to form dormant embryos, so-called 'cysts', may account to a great extent for its widely usage as an expedient and appropriate, larval food source in aquaculture operations [8]. Those cysts are available all year round in large quantities along the shorelines of hypersaline lakes, coastal lagoons and solar salt works scattered around the globe. After harvesting and processing, cysts are made available in cans as storable 'on demand' live food, which makes them the most suitable, least labour-intensive live food available for use fish hatchery production [9].

Prepared or artificial fish feeds may be either complete or supplemental. Complete diets supply all the ingredients (protein, carbohydrates, fats, vitamins, and minerals) necessary for the optimal growth and health of the fish in the culture medium. In larvae fish the use of complete artificial diets is increasingly important among the fish farmers in Nigeria in recent times. Hence, this study evaluate the performance of *C. gariepinus* fed *Artemia* live with specially formulated complete fish feed.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

Fish larvae were obtained through the hypophysation technique. After absorption of yoke, a total of two hundred (200) larvae of  $4.8 \pm 0.16$ mg weight and  $6.16 \pm 0.30$ mm length were transferred to six experimental tanks ( $40 \times 25 \times 25$ cm<sup>3</sup>) that were properly labeled. At the onset of the experiment, twenty fish were removed from each tank and batch weighed to determine the average initial weight of fish, leaving 80 fish per tank and length measurements were determined for ten fish each using a calibrated meter rule with magnifying hand lens. Larvae in triplicate tanks were fed each of the experimental diets: decapsulated *Artemia* (control) and formulated special diet, twice a day ad-libitum in the morning and in the evening for 21

days. Tanks were cleaned daily before feeding and dead larvae were siphoned and counted to estimate survival. At the end of the experiment, 20 larvae were removed from each tank and batch weighed, while lengths of ten individual fish were measured to determine average length.

#### Preparation of Special Feed

The special feed was prepared by mixing broilers starter feeds (poultry) produced by Vital Feeds Ltd, Nigeria, Dana fish meal, vitamin C, and premix (containing vitamins) they were procured from Agro-services centre at Rumudomaya, in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. This Special feed contains 1.18kg of fish meal, 0.78kg of broilers starter feeds, 0.02kg of vitamin C and 0.02kg of premix, given a total of 2kg of starter fish feed.

#### Proximate Nutrient Composition of Experimental Diets

The four experimental feed samples were analysed using the standard analysis method of the Association of Official Analytical Chemist [10].

#### Physico-Chemical Parameters

The physico-chemical parameters of water in the experimental tanks during the study period were determined with the methods described by APHA [11]. The temperature was taken by the use of mercury in glass thermometer calibrated in degree centigrade (0-100°C). The pH value of the water was determined by the use of a pH meter, pocket pen pH meter model 700, made in Japan. The dissolved oxygen (D.O) was determined using a 9-series multi-parameter water quality meter (BANTE 980 PRECISION D. O. METER) Version Number: 2009070200. The ammonia, nitrite and nitrate test was conducted using La Motte Aquaculture test kit MODEL AQ-4, CODE 3635-04, chester town, Maryland, 21620. USA.

#### Growth Parameters

The length was measured by the use of a transmitted millimeter calibrated ruler and a magnifying hand lens. The initial larva length was  $6.16 \pm 0.30$ mm and measurements were done at days 7, 14 and 21. The weight was determined by the use of an electric sensitive weighing balance (model: 3002N, No.110628014, made in Shanghai, China by Wart Instrument Co. Ltd). The initial larva weight before stocking was  $4.8 \pm 0.16$ mg, and weighing was done at days, 7, 14 and 21.

#### Survival

The survival rate was determined using the formular

$$\% \text{ survival rate} = \frac{\text{final number of larva}}{\text{initial number of larva stocked}} \times 100$$

#### Specific Growth Rate (SGR)

Specific Growth Rate (SGR): This was calculated using:

$$\text{SGR} = \frac{\ln W_t - \ln W_o}{t} \quad [12]$$

Where:  $W_t$  = Final body weight ;  $W_o$  = Initial body weight ;  $t$  = Time (days)  
 $\ln$  = Logarithms of numbers

#### Fulton's Condition Factor (K)

The Fulton's Condition Factor (K): this was calculated using the formular:

$$K = \frac{W}{L^3} \times 100\% \quad [13]$$

W = Weight (g)

L = Length (c)

#### Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)

This was calculated using the formular:

$$\text{FCR} = \frac{\text{Live Weight gain (g)}}{\text{Dry feed fed (g)}} \quad [14]$$

#### Feed Intake(g)

Total weight of food consumed by fish within the experimental period

#### Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER)

This was calculated using the formula

$$\text{PER} = \frac{\text{Gain in Fish Weight (g)}}{\text{Protein intake (g)}} \quad [15]$$

#### Percentage Weight Gain

$$\text{PWG} = \frac{\text{Weight gain (g)}}{\text{Fish weight (g)}} \times 100 \quad [16]$$

#### Absolute Growth Rate

$$AGR = \frac{\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight}}{\text{Growth Period}} \quad [17]$$

**Daily Weight Gain**

$$DWG = \frac{\text{Mean wt increase per day}}{\text{Body weight of fish}} \quad [16]$$

**Average Daily Length Gain**

$$ADLG = \frac{\text{Final Length} - \text{Initial Length}}{\text{Days}} \quad [15].$$

**Relative Weight Gain (RWG)**

$$\frac{\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \quad [18].$$

**Gross Feed Conversion Efficiency (GFCE)**

$$GFCE = \frac{I}{FCR} \times 100 \quad [19].$$

**Statistical Analysis of Data**

Statistical analysis was carried out on all data using the SPSS VERSION 22 for windows, 2000. Data was pooled by treatment and presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) and standard error (SE), Data was analyzed for treatment effect by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Turkey Post hoc test was used to 95% confidence level to produce specific information on which means are significantly different from each other.

**III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The proximate composition of the two feeds is presented in Table 1. The special feed compared favourably with artemia in protein and carbohydrate quality. The water quality parameters in in artemia and special feeds rearing containers were within the same range with no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) in all parameters in all parameters (Table 2). Survival was higher in fish fed special formulated starter diet than the fish fed decapsulated *Artemia*. Growth rate, specific growth rate and final weight were higher in fish fed decapsulated *Artemia*, than the formulated fish feed. while the least growth were observed in fish fed commercial starter diet. Moreover, interms of nutrient utilization between the two feeds, special feed has a better feed conversion ratio of 1.33, while Artemia had 1.87 (Tables 3 and 4).

**Table 1: Proximate Composition of Experimental Feeds (Mean  $\pm$  S D)**

Parameters	Artemia	Special Feeds
Moisture Content (%)	10.25 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	11.76 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>c</sup>
Protein (%)	48.55 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	42.72 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>
Fibre (%)	6.42 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	7.22 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>c</sup>
Fat (%)	2.95 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	10.64 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>c</sup>
Ash Content (%)	14.74 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	12.11 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>b</sup>
Carbohydrate (%)	17.06 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	15.64 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>
Energy (cal/100g)	298.0 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	329.25 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>b</sup>

Means within the same row with different superscript are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ )

**Table 2: Physico-chemical Parameters of Water in the Experimental Tanks during Flow Through Period 21 Days (Mean  $\pm$  SE)**

Parameters	Artemia	Special Feeds
Temperature ( $^{\circ}$ C)	27.49 $\pm$ 1.29 <sup>a</sup>	27.96 $\pm$ 1.34 <sup>a</sup>
pH	6.13 $\pm$ 0.48 <sup>a</sup>	6.26 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>a</sup>
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	6.37 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>a</sup>	6.09 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>a</sup>

NH <sub>3</sub> (mg/l)	0.00 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>
Nitrate (mg/l)	0.00 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>
Nitrite(mg/l)	0.00 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>

Means within the same row with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05)

**Table 3: Growth Response in *C.gariepinus* Fry Fed Experimental Diets within 21 Days (Mean±SE)**

Parameters	Artemia	Special Feed
Final Length (mm)	13.37 ± 4.61 <sup>b</sup>	15.19 ± 7.30 <sup>c</sup>
Final Weight (mg)	29.44 ± 14.45 <sup>d</sup>	22.11 ± 11.30 <sup>b</sup>
Weight Gained (mg)	24.64 ± 14.45 <sup>d</sup>	16.29 ± 11.30 <sup>b</sup>
Length Increase (mm)	7.21 ± 4.61 <sup>b</sup>	8.96 ± 7.11 <sup>c</sup>
Survival (%)	49.61± 20.77 <sup>a</sup>	65.53± 11.33 <sup>c</sup>
Specific Growth Rate (% d <sup>-1</sup> )	12.40 ± 1.44 <sup>b</sup>	9.72 ± 1.85 <sup>a</sup>
Condition Factor	1.42± 0.46 <sup>b</sup>	0.94± 0.31 <sup>a</sup>
Feed Intake (mg)	16.43 ± 13.81 <sup>c</sup>	13.16 ± 10.50 <sup>a</sup>
Percentage Weight Gained (%)	78.00 ± 13.64 <sup>d</sup>	67.45 ± 20.00 <sup>a</sup>
Absolute Growth Rate(mg)	1.62 ± 0.47 <sup>a</sup>	1.03 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>
Daily Weight Gained (mg)	0.09 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>
Average Daily Length Gain (mm)	0.78 ± 0.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.78± 0.16 <sup>c</sup>
Relative Weight Gained(%)	5.13 ± 3.01 <sup>c</sup>	3.95 ± 2.37 <sup>a</sup>

**Table 4: Nutrient Utilization in *C. gariepinus* Fry Fed Experimental Diets within 21 Days (Mean±SE)**

Parameters	Artemia	Special Feed
Protein Intake	7.97± 3.69 <sup>b</sup>	5.61± 3.24 <sup>a</sup>
Protein Efficiency Ratio	3.88 ± 1.16 <sup>b</sup>	2.03 ± 1.45 <sup>a</sup>
Feed Conversion Ratio	1.87 ± 0.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.33 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>
Gross Feed Conversion Efficiency	59.16 ± 21.98 <sup>a</sup>	80.27 ± 30.00 <sup>c</sup>

Means within the same row with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05)

In previous studies involving the larvae of African catfish, *C. gariepinus*, decapsulated *Artemia* also gave the best growth performance in terms of weight gained [20]. Decapsulated *Artemia* cysts have also been reported as a good starter diet for freshwater and marine fishes[21], because of its balanced nutritional composition. A major advantage of *Artemia* cysts in aquaculture is that they can be kept for a longer periods of time. In terms of growth fish fed with artemia did better, while survival rate was better in *C.gariepinus* larvae fed with special feeds. This result agrees with the report of Abduraheem *et al.* [22], in *C.gariepinus* larvae fed live feed and artificial feeds. Like other live feeds such as artemia, this implies that the fry did not have fully developed guts, as well as poor perception organs such as the olfactory. Even fry could die with guts full of food, suggesting their inability to digest formulated diets.

Though the result of the proximate analysis of the feeds shows that protein content of special feed were comparable to the protein content of Artemia. As Artemia had the best result in terms of specific growth rate and weight gain compared to special formulated feed. This could arise from the fact that Artemia being a natural feed, has its protein properly utilized by the fish, it could also be that the protein in Artemia as a live food was properly digested than the artificial diets at this stage of the fish growth. The digestive systems of the larvae are poorly developed and lack proper digestive enzymes, but live feeds come with exogenous enzymes that facilitate digestion at this stage of the fish [23]. This result is in agreement with the report of Bukola *et al.*[24], who observed the same trend in the hatchlings of *C.gariepinus* fed with *Artemia* and artificial diets. Further still, obtaining feeds that satisfy the nutritional needs of the fry was difficult since mechanisms of digestion and absorption, as well as nutritional requirements change during their development. The findings in this study that formulated diets resulted in the least growth and better survival when used for feeding fish fry is in line with findings in the investigations conducted by other researchers [25-26], in early feeding of catfish species.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, *C. gariepinus* fry grow best on diet of live feed Artemia. While, special formulated feed had the best survival of fish. Hence, considerable growth and survival can be obtained when fish fry are fed with a combination of live and formulated feeds.

## REFERENCES

1. Adewolu, M. A., Adeniji, C. A. & Adejobi, B. Feed utilization, growth and survival of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burdell 1822) Fingerlings Cultured under different Photoperiodo. *Aquaculture*, 2008;283: 64-67.
2. Kestemunt, P., Toko I. Fiogbe, E.D. & Koukpode, B. Rearing African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) and Vindu Catfish (*Heterobranchus longifilllis*) in traditional fish ponds (whedos): effects of stocking density on growth, production and body composition. *Aquaculture*. 2007; 262: 65-72.
3. Ajah, P. O. Growth characteristics of the monogonout Rotifier *Asplanchnapriodonta* Gosse 1850 on three algae Species. *Turkish Journal of fisheries and Aquatic science*. 2008; 8:275-282.
4. FAO . The state of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2010; 218.
5. Habashy, M. M. Growth and Body Composition of Juvenile Freshwater Prawn, *M. rosenbergli*, fed Different Dietary protein/Starch Ratios *Global Veterinaria*, 2009; 3:45-50.
6. Adewumi, A. A. Growth Performance and Survival of *Clarias gariepinus* hatchlings fed different starter diets. *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2015; 5 (3):1-5.
7. Adewolu, M. A., Akintola, S. L., & Akinwunmi, O. O. Growth performance and Survival of Hybrid African catfish larvae (*Clarias gariepinus x Heterobranchusbidorsalis*) fed different diets *Zoologists* . 2009;7(1): 45-51.
8. Duray, M.N., Estudillo, C.B., Alpasan, L.G. Larval rearing of the grouper *Epinephelus suillus* under laboratory conditions. *Aquaculture*. 2006;150, 63—76.
9. Kim, J., Masee, K.C., Hardy, R.W., Adult Artemia as food for first feeding coho salmon *Oncorhynchus kisutch*.. *Aquaculture* .2006; 144: 217—226.
10. AOAC, *Official Methods of Analysis* (15<sup>th</sup> Edn.; K. Holdrick, Editor). Association of Official Analytical Chmists, Virginia, USA. 1990; pp.125-291.
11. APHA. Standard methods for the extermination of water and waste water, 20<sup>th</sup> edition. Washinton D.C., 1998;1193pp.
12. Arimoro, F. First Feeding in African Catfish *Clarias anguillaris* fry in Tanks with fresh water Rotifer *Branchinus Calyciflorus* Cultured in a continuous feedback Mechanism in Comparison with mixed zooplankton Diet. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*. 2007;2(4): 275-284.
13. Peanase, P., Mengumphan, K. Growth performance length – Weight Relationship and condition factor of Backcross and Reciprocal Hybrid catfish Reared in Ned cages. *International Journal of Zoological Research*. 2015;11:57-64.
14. Tacon, A. G. J. The nutrition and feeding of farmed fish and Shrimp. A training Manual 2. Nutrient sources and composition. FAO/UNDP. Brazil. 1990; 12pp.
15. Tibbetts, S. M., & Lall, S. P. Effect of dietary inclusion of Atlantic snow crab, *chionoecetes opilio* and Northern pink shrimp (*pandalis borealis*) processing by-products on nutrient digestibility by juvenile haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*). *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 2013;182 (1-4): 126-130.
16. Richinr, W.E . Growth rates and models in: W.S. Hoar, D.J. Rondall and J.R. Breth (eds) *Fish physiology, Bionergeic and Growth*. Academic Press, New York, 1979; 682-743.
17. Orisamuko, E.A. Influence of diets on the growth of the African River Prawn, *Macrobranchuim, vollenhoveni*: *Nigeria Journal of Fisheries*. 2006; 2(1):110-126.
18. Mbagwu, I. G. & Adeniji, H.A. The nutritional content of duck weed (*Lemna paucicostata hegel*m) in the Kainj lake area, *Nigeria*. *Aqua Botany*.1988 ;29(4) : 357-366.
19. Stafford, E.A. & Tacon, AG.J. The nutritional evaluation of dry earth worm meal (*Eisenia foetida, savigny, 1826*) included at low levels in production diets for rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson. *Aquaculture Research*. 1985; 16(3): 213-222.
20. Amadi, E. L., and Solomon, S. G. Growth and Survival of first feeding larvae of *Clarias gariepinus* fed live ad preserved zooplankton. *Journal of Aquatic Science*.2001; 16:29-31.
21. Lim, C. L., Dhert, P., Soegloss, P. Recent developments in the application of live feeds in freshwater ornamental fish culture *Aquaculture*. 2003; 227:319-331.
22. Abdulraheen, I., Otubusin, S. O., Agbebi, O. T., Olowofeso, O., Alegbeleye W.O., Abdul K.A., Samuel, S.A., & Bamidele N. The growth response of *Clarias gariepinus* hatchlings to different dry feeds *J. Agric. Sci.* 2012; 4: 75-80.
23. Person-Le, J. R. Early weaning of Marine fish larvae into microdiets: Constraints and perspectives. Tahiti, *Advance in tropical Aquaculture. AQUACOP IFERMA Actes de Colloque*.1989; 625 – 642.
25. Chepkirui-Bolt, V., Ngugi, C. C., Bowman, J., Oyoo-Okoth, E., Rasowo, J., Mugo-Bundi, J. & Cherop, L. Growth performance, survival, feed utilization and nutrient utilization of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) larvae co-fed *Artemia* and a micro-diet containing fresh water atyid shrimp (*caridina nilotica*) during weaning. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 2011;17, e82 – e89.
26. Malla, S. & Bank, S. Larval rearing of endergered catfish *Ompok bimuculatus* (Bloch, 1794) with live and artificial diets: A preliminary study in Tripura, *India. International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies* 2015;2(5): 16 – 21.