RP-HPLC METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR THE DETERMINATION OF SITAGLIPTIN IN BULK AND PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORM

GADDALA DEEPTHI, Dr.SANJEEV KUMAR SUBUDHI*,D.SNIGDHA Pharmaceutical Analysis and Quality Assurance, Talla Padmavathi Pharmacy College, Urus, Kareembad, Warangal, India.

ABSTRACT A simple, rapid, precise, accurate and sensitive reverse phase liquid chromatographic method has been developed for the determination of Sitagliptin in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form dosage form. The chromatographic method was standardized using Develosil ODS HG-5 RP C18, 5 μ m, 15cm x 4.6mm i.d. column with UV detection at 255 nm and (0.05M) Phosphate Buffer : Acetonitrile with 30:70 (pH-2.8) ratio at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/ min. The proposed method was successfully applied to the determination of Sitagliptin in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form. The method was linear over the range of 30-70 μ g/ml. The recovery was in the range of 98% to 102% and limit of detection was found to be 0.09 μ g/ml and quantification was found to be 0.027 μ g/ml. Different analytical performance parameters such as precision, accuracy, limit of detection, limit of quantification and robustness were determined according to International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines. Keywords: RP-HPLC, Sitagliptin, Method development and validation, ICH Guidelines.

I.INTRODUCTION

Sitagliptin, sold under the brand name Januvia among others, is an medication used to treat diabetes mellitus type 2. It is generally less preferred than metformin or a sulfonylurea. It is taken by mouth. It is also available within a single pill as metformin/sitagliptin.^[1-4] Common side effects include headaches, swelling of the legs, and upper respiratory tract infections. Serious side effects may include angioedema, low blood sugar, kidney problems, pancreatitis, and joint pain.^[5-8] Whether use in pregnancy or breastfeeding is safe is unclear. It is in the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor class and works by increasing the production of insulin and decreasing the production of glucagon by the pancreas. Sitagliptin is used to treat diabetes mellitus type 2.^[9-12] It is generally less preferred than metformin or sulfonylureas. It is taken by mouth. It is also available within a single pill as metformin/sitagliptin.^[13-16] Adverse effects from sitagliptin are similar to placebo, except for rare nausea, common cold-like symptoms, and photosensitivity. It does not increase the risk of diarrhea. No significant difference exists in the occurrence of hypoglycemia between placebo and Sitagliptin. In those taking sulphonylureas, the risk of low blood sugar is increased^[17-18].

The IUPAC Name of Sitagliptin is (3R)-3-amino-1-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-5H,6H,7H,8H-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7-yl]-4-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-1-one.^[19-20]



Fig 1: Chemical Structure of Sitagliptin

II.MATERIALS AND METHODS METHOD DEVELOPMENT

HPLC Instrumentation & Conditions:

The HPLC system employed was HPLC with Empower2 Software with Isocratic with UV-Visible Detector.

Standard & sample preparation for UV-spectrophotometer analysis :

25 mg of Sitagliptin standard was transferred into 25 ml volumetric flask, dissolved & make up to volume with mobile phase. Further dilution was done by transferring 0.2 ml of the above solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and make up to volume with mobile phase. The standard & sample stock solutions were prepared separately by dissolving standard & sample in a solvent in mobile phase diluting with the same solvent. (After optimization of all conditions) for UV analysis. It scanned in the UV spectrum in the range of 200 to 400nm. This has been performed to know the maxima of Sitagliptin, so that the same wave number can be utilized in HPLC UV detector for estimating the Sitagliptin. While scanning the Sitagliptin solution we observed the maxima at 255nm. The UV spectrum has been recorded on ELICO SL-159 make UV – Vis spectrophotometer model UV-2450.

Optimized Chromatographic Conditions:

Column: Phenomenex Luna C₁₈, 100A, 5 μ m, 250mmx4.6mm i.d. **Mobile Phase :** A cotonitrile : (0.05M) Phosphate buffer (pH 2.8) in 70:20

Mobile Phase : Acetonitrile : (0.05M) Phosphate buffer (pH-2.8) in 70:30.

Flow Rate : 1.0ml/minute

Wave length: 255 nm

Injection volume : 20µl **Run time :** 08 mins. **Column temperature :** Ambient **Sampler cooler :** Ambient

Mobile Phase Preparation :

Mobile phase was prepared by taking Acetonitrile : (0.05M) Phosphate buffer (pH-2.8) (70:30v/v). Mobile phase was filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter and degassed under ultrasonic bath prior to use. The mobile phase was pumped through the column at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min.

Sample & Standard Preparation for the Analysis :

25 mg of Sitagliptin standard was transferred into 25 ml volumetric flask, dissolved & make up to volume with mobile phase. Further dilution was done by transferring 0.5 ml of the above solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and make up to volume with mobile phase.

Method Validation

Accuracy:

Recovery study: To decide the exactness of the proposed strategy, recuperation thinks about were completed by including diverse sums (80%, 100%, and 120%) of unadulterated medication of Sitagliptin were taken and added to the pre-dissected detailing of fixation 50μ g/ml. From that rate recuperation esteems were ascertained. The outcomes were appeared in Table-3.

Precision :

Repeatability

The precision of each method was ascertained separately from the peak areas & retention times obtained by actual determination of six replicates of a fixed amount of drug. Sitagliptin (API) the percent relative standard deviations were calculated for Sitagliptin is presented in the Table-4.

Intermediate Precision :

The intra & inter day variation of the method was carried out & the high values of mean assay & low values of standard deviation & % RSD (% RSD < 2%) within a day & day to day variations for Sitagliptin revealed that the proposed method is precise.

Linearity and Range :

Linearity range was found to be $30-70\mu$ g/ml for Sitagliptin. The correlation coefficient was found to be 0.999, the slope was found to be 11266 and intercept was found to be 50416 for Sitagliptin.

Method Robustness :

Influence of small changes in chromatographic conditions such as change in flow rate (± 0.1 ml/min), Temperature ($\pm 2^{0}$ C), Wavelength of detection (± 2 nm) & Acetonitrile content in mobile phase ($\pm 2\%$) studied to determine the

robustness of the method are also in favour of (Table-7, % RSD < 2%) the developed RP-HPLC method for the analysis of Sitagliptin (API).

LOD & LOQ :

The limit of detection (LOD) is the lowest concentration of analyte in a sample which can be detected, but not quantitated. LOD is a limit test that specifies whether an analyte is above or below a certain value. Signal-to-noise ratio of three-to-one is used to determine LOD.

The Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) is defined as the lowest concentration of an analyte in a sample that can be determined with acceptable precision and accuracy under the stated operational conditions of the method. Signal-to-noise ratio of ten-to-one is used to determine LOQ.

Estimation of Sitagliptin in Pharmaceutical Dosage Form

Twenty tablets were taken and the I.P. method was followed to determine the average weight. Above weighed tablets were finally powdered and triturated well. A quantity of powder equivalent to 100 mg of drugs were transferred to 100 ml volumetric flask, and 70 ml of HPLC grade methanol was added and solution was sonicated for 15 minutes, there after volume was made up to 100 ml with same solvent. Then 10 ml of the above solution was diluted to 100 ml with HPLC grade methanol. The solution was filtered through a membrane filter (0.45 μ m) and sonicated to degas. From this stock solution (3.5 ml) was transferred to five different 10 ml volumetric flasks and volume was made up to 10 ml with same solvent system. The solution prepared was injected in five replicates into the HPLC system and the observations were recorded. A duplicate injection of the standard solution was also injected into the HPLC system and the peak areas were recorded. The data are shown in Table-8.

Stability Studies

Acid Degrdation :

An accurately weighed 10 mg of pure drug was transferred to a clean & dry round bottom flask. 30 ml of 0.1 N HCl was added to it and it was refluxed in a water bath at 60° C for 4 hours. Allowed to cool to room temperature. The sample was then neutralized using dilute NaOH solution & final volume of the sample was made up to 100ml with water to prepare 50 µg/ml solution. It was injected into the HPLC system against a blank of mobile phase (after optimizing the mobile phase compositions). This experiment was repeated several times using same concentration of HCl (0.1N) and observed its degradation profile. The typical chromatogram shown below is the degradation profile of Sitagliptin in 0.1N HCl.

Basic Hydrolysis :

An accurately weighed 10 mg of pure drug was transferred to a clean & dry round bottom flask. 30 ml of 0.1N NaOH was added to it. & it was refluxed in a water bath at 60° C for 4 hours. Allowed to cool to room temperature. The sample was than neutralized using 2N HCl solution & final volume of the sample was made up to 100ml to prepare 100 µg/ml solution. It was injected into the HPLC system against a blank of mobile phase after optimizing the mobile phase compositions. This experiment was repeated several times using same concentration of NaOH such as 0.1N to observe its degradation profile. The chromatogram shown below is the degradation profile of Sitagliptin in 0.1N NaOH.

Thermal Degradation :

Accurately weighed 10 mg of pure drug was transferred to a clean & dry round bottom flask. 30 ml of HPLC water was added to it. Then, it was refluxed in a water bath at 60° c for 6 hours uninterruptedly. After the reflux was over, the drug became soluble and the mixture of drug & water was allowed to cool to room temperature. Final volume was made up to 100 ml with HPLC water to prepare 100 µg/ml solution. It was injected into the HPLC system against a blank of mobile phase.

Photolytic Degradation :

Approximately 10 mg of pure drug was taken in a clean & dry Petri dish. It was kept in a UV cabinet at 254 nm wavelength for 24 hours without interruption. Accurately weighed 1 mg of the UV exposed drug was transferred to a clean & dry 10 ml volumetric flask. First the UV exposed drug was dissolved in methanol & made up to the mark with mobile phase to get 100 μ g/ml solution. Finally this solution was injected into the HPLC system against a blank of mobile phase and chromatogram was obtained.

Oxidation With (3%) H₂O₂:

Accurately weighed 10 mg. of pure drug was taken in a clean & dry 100 ml volumetric flask. 30 ml of 3% H₂O₂ and a little methanol was added to it to make it soluble & then kept as such in dark for 24 hours. Final volume was made up to 100 ml. using water to prepare 100 µg/ml solution. The above sample was injected into the HPLC system.

III.RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Method Development



Fig 2: UV Spectrum

	Table-1: Trials for	. Method Deve	iopment		
Column Used	Mobile Phase	Flow Rate	Wave	Observation	Result
			length		
Phenomenex Luna C ₁₈ ,	Methanol :	1.0ml/min	255nm	Very Low	Method
100A, 5µm,	Acetonitrile			response	rejected
250mmx4.6mm i.d.	= 50:50				
Phenomenex Luna C ₁₈ ,	Methanol :	1.0ml/min	255nm	Low response	Method
100A, 5µm,	Acetonitrile				rejected
250mmx4.6mm i.d.	= 70 : 30				
Phenomenex Luna C_{18} ,	Phosphate Buffer :	1.0ml/min	255nm	Tailing peaks	Method
100A, 5µm,	Methanol = 35:65				rejected
250mmx4.6mm i.d.	(pH-5.2)				
Phenomenex Luna C_{18} ,	Phosphate Buffer :	1.0ml/min	255nm	Resolution was	Method
100A, 5µm,	Acetonitrile $= 30:70$			not good	rejected
250mmx4.6mm i.d.	(pH-4.8)				
Phenomenex Luna C ₁₈ ,	Phosphate Buffer :	1.0ml/min	255nm	Tailing peak	Method
100A, 5µm,	Acetonitrile = 60:40				rejected
250mmx4.6mm i.d.	(pH-3.6)				
Phenomenex Luna C ₁₈ ,	(0.05M) Phosphate	1.0ml/min	255nm	Nice and Good	Method
100A, 5µm,	Buffer : Acetonitrile			peak	accepted
250mmx4.6mm i.d.	= 30:70 (pH-2.8)				

Optimized Condition :



Chromatogram for Blank Preparation



Table 2: Peak Results

S.No.	Drug Name	Rt	Peak Area	Theoretical Plates	Tailing Factor		
1	Sitagliptin	3.660	5652284	5634	1.58		

Table-3: Accuracy Readings

Method Validation

Accuracy:

				0	
	Concentra	Concentration (µg/ml)		% Recovery of	
Sample ID	AmountAmountPeak AreaPure drugAddedFoundPare drug		Pure drug	Statistical Analysis	
S ₁ : 80 %	40	40.141	502647	100.352	Mean= 100.3947%
S ₂ : 80 %	40	40.191	503214	100.477	S.D. $= 0.071319$ % R S D $= 0.071038$
S ₃ : 80 %	40	40.142	502656	100.355	// R.B.D. = 0.071030
S ₄ : 100 %	50	50.044	614215	100.088	
S ₅ : 100 %	50	49.887	612451	99.774	Mean= 99.98533% S.D. = 0.183045
S ₆ : 100 %	50	50.047	614254	100.094	% R.S.D.= 0.183071
S ₇ : 120 %	60	60.192	728547	100.32	Mean- 100 311%
S ₈ : 120 %	60	59.939	725698	99.898	S.D. = 0.408574
S ₉ : 120 %	60	60.429	731211	100.715	% K.S.D.= 0.407308

Precision : Repeatability

Table-4: Repeatability Results of Precision					
HPLC Injection Retention Peak					
Replicates of Sitagliptin	Time (Min)	Area (AUC)			
Replicate – 1	3.649	5674158			
Replicate – 2	3.684	5654715			
Replicate – 3	3.687	5665841			
Replicate – 4	3.688	5654578			
Replicate – 5	3.688	5652284			
Replicate – 6	3.687	5641487			
Average		5657177			
Standard Deviation		11369.72			
% RSD		0.200979			

Intermediate Precision:

	Table-5. Results of Infra day & Infer day					
Conc. Of Sitagliptin (API)	Observed Conc. Of Sitagliptin (µg/ml) by the proposed method					
(µg/ml)	Intra	Intra day		r day		
	Mean (n=6)	% RSD	Mean (n=6)	% RSD		
40	40.05	1.09	39.89	1.08		
50	50.08	0.95	49.54	0.76		
60	60.09	0.97	59.86	0.94		

Table-5: Results of Intra day & Inter day

Linearity and Range



Fig-3: C	Calibration	curve	of	Sitagliptin	(API)
----------	-------------	-------	----	-------------	-------

Table-6: Linearity Results of Sitagliptin

CONC.	AUC (n=5)
0	0
30	3465974
40	4626478
50	5682284
60	6815478
70	7878721

Method Robustness :

usiness rest
% RSD
0.56
0.87
0.72
0.53
0.61
0.96

Table 7 . Degult of Mathad Dabugtness Tost

LOD & LOQ : The Minimum concentration level at which the analyte can be reliable detected (LOD) & quantified (LOQ) were found to be 0.09 & 0.027 μ g/ml respectively.

Estimation of Sitagliptin in Tablet Dosage Form

Brand Name of Tablets	Labelled amount of Drug (mg)	Mean (±SD) amount (mg) found by the proposed method (n=6)	% Purity
Januvia Tablets (100mg) (Merck & Co.)	100mg	99.895 (±0.056)	99.786 % (±0.584)

Stability Studies Acid Degrdation :



Thermal Degradation:





14810	> • 100 01 00 01	ion ora angi aaamon se	aanos on shang-print his h	
Stress condition	Time	Assay of active substance	Assay of degraded products	Mass Balance (%)
Acid Hydrolysis (0.1 M HCl)	24Hrs.	98.76	1.24	100.0
Basic Hydrolysis (0.I M NaOH)	24Hrs.	98.63	1.37	100.0
Thermal Degradation (50 ⁰ C)	24Hrs.	93.98	6.02	100.0
UV (254nm)	24Hrs.	98.84	1.16	100.0
3 % Hydrogen peroxide	24Hrs.	94.61	5.39	100.0

Table-9: Results of forced degradation studies of Sitagliptin APL

IV.CONCLUSION

A sensitive & selective RP-HPLC method has been developed & validated for the analysis of Sitagliptin API. Further the proposed RP-HPLC method has excellent sensitivity, precision and reproducibility. The result shows the developed method is yet another suitable method for assay, purity which can help in the analysis of Sitagliptin in different formulations. Finally it was concluded that the method is simple, sensitive and has the ability to separate the drug from degradation products and excipients found in the dosage form.

REFERENCES

- 1. International Conference on Harmonization, "Q2B: Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology; Availability," *Federal Register* 62 (96), 27463–27467 (1997).
- FDA, "Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation: Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls Documentation; Availability," Federal Register (Notices) 65 (169), 52776–52777 (2000).
- 3. G.A. Shabir, "Validation of HPLC Chromatography Methods for Pharmaceutical Analysis. Understanding the Differences and Similarities between Validation Requirements of FDA, the US Pharmacopeia and the ICH," J. Chromatogr. A. 987 (1-2), 57-66 (2003)
- 4. J. M. Green, A practical guide to analytical method validation, Anal. Chem. News & Features, 1 May 1996, pp. 305A–309A.
- 5. P. A. Winslow and R. F. Meyer, Defining a master plan for the validation of analytical methods, J. Validation Technology, pp. 361–367 (1997).
- 6. H.H.Williard, L.L.Merit, F.A.Dean and F.A.Settle, Instrumental methods of analysis, 7th edition, C.B.S.Publishers, New Delhi, (2002).
- 7. Validation of analytical procedures, Methodology, ICH harmonized tripartite guideline, 108, 1996.
- 8. Instrumental Method of Analysis by Rabi Sankar, P-18-6, P-18-3.
- 9. Practical HPLC Method Development by Lloyd R. Snyder *et al*; 2nd edition, P-503.
- 10. Guidance for industry, Analytical Procedure and Method Validation, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services FDA, August 2000.
- 11. The United State Pharmacopeia 25/National Formulary 20, ch. 1225, pg. 2256-2259 (The United State Pharmacopial Convention, Inc., Rockville, Maryland, 2002).
- 12. ICH Q2B: Validation of Analytical Procedure; Methodology (International Conferences on Harmonization of Technical requirements for the registration of Drugs for Human use, Geneva, Switzerland, May 1997).
- 13. ICH Q2B: Validation of Analytical Procedure; Methodology (International Conferences on Harmonization of Technical requirements for the registration of Drugs for Human use, Geneva, Switzerland, Nov 2003).
- 14. L. R. Snyder, J.J. Kirkland, and J. W. Dolan, Introduction to Modern Liquid Chromatography, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2009.
- 15. M.W. Dong, Modern HPLC for practicing scientists. Wiley, 2006.
- 16. L. R. Snyder, J.J. Kirkland, and J. L. Glajch, Practical HPLC Method Development, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
- 17. S. Ahuja and H. T. Rasmussen (ed), HPLC Method Development for Pharmaceuticals, Academic Press, 2007.
- 18. S. Ahuja and M.W. Dong (ed), Handbook of Pharmaceutical Analysis by HPLC, Elsevier/Academic Press, 2005.
- 19. Y. V. Kazakevich and R. LoBrutto (ed.), HPLC for Pharmaceutical Scientists, Wiley, 2007.
- 20. U. D. Neue, HPLC Columns: Theory, Technology, and Practice, Wiley-VCH, New York, 1997.